

LARY ALAN RAPPAPORT, SBN 87614  
[lrappaport@proskauer.com](mailto:lrappaport@proskauer.com)  
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP  
2049 Century Park East, Suite 3200  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3206  
Telephone: 310-557-2900  
Facsimile: 310-557-2193

KATHARINE H. PARKER, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
[kparker@proskauer.com](mailto:kparker@proskauer.com)  
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP  
Eleven Times Square  
New York, NY 10036-8299  
Telephone: 212-969-3000  
Facsimile: 212-969-2900

BRIDGIT M. DePIETTO, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
[bdepietto@proskauer.com](mailto:bdepietto@proskauer.com)  
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 400 South  
Washington, DC 20004  
Telephone: 202-416-6800  
Facsimile: 202-416-6899

Attorneys for Defendants  
**TIME INC., ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE  
TIME WARNER PENSION PLAN, and FMR LLC**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

LORINDA REICHERT,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIME INC., ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE  
OF THE TIME WARNER PENSION PLAN, and  
FMR LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:11-cv-03592-WHA

**DECLARATION OF  
KATHARINE H. PARKER, ESQ.**

KATHARINE H. PARKER, ESQ., pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declares that:

1. I am an attorney admitted *pro hac vice* to practice before this Court and am a member of Proskauer Rose LLP, attorneys for the Defendants in the above-captioned action. I

Declaration Of Katharine H. Parker, Esq. In Support Of Stipulation & [Proposed] Order Setting  
Briefing Schedule Case No. 3:11-cv-03592-WHA

1 submit this declaration in support of the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Setting Briefing  
2 Schedule and Oral Argument on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint.

3           2.       The Local Rules 7.3(a) and (c), respectively, provide that "[a]ny opposition to a  
4 motion must be served and filed not more than 14 days after the motion is served and filed," and  
5 "[a]ny reply to an opposition must be served and filed by the moving party not more than 7 days  
6 after the opposition is served and filed." The parties request an extension of this briefing schedule  
7 to brief Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint because they require more time to  
8 prepare and file their respective pleadings.  
9

10           3.       This is the first request from either party to modify the briefing schedule to brief  
11 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint.

12           4.       The parties' requested briefing schedule and hearing date will have no effect on the  
13 schedule for the case.  
14

15           5.       Further, the requested hearing date complies with Local Rule 7.2 in that it will  
16 occur no less than 35 days after the service of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's  
17 Complaint.

18                   I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

19  
20 Executed on: September 19, 2011  
New York, New York

21  
22   
KATHARINE H. PARKER, ESQ.